

# How Many Normal Measures Can $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$ Carry? <sup>\*†</sup>

Arthur W. Apter<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
Baruch College of CUNY  
New York, New York 10010 USA

and

The CUNY Graduate Center, Mathematics  
365 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10016 USA

<http://faculty.baruch.cuny.edu/apter>  
[awapter@alum.mit.edu](mailto:awapter@alum.mit.edu)

January 21, 2009  
(revised July 6, 2009)

## Abstract

Relative to the existence of a supercompact cardinal with a measurable cardinal above it, we show that it is consistent for  $\aleph_1$  to be regular and for  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  to be measurable and to carry precisely  $\tau$  normal measures, where  $\tau \geq \aleph_{\omega_1+2}$  is any regular cardinal. This extends the work of [2], in which the analogous result was obtained for  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  using the same hypotheses.

In [2], models were constructed for the theory “ZF +  $\text{DC}_{\aleph_\omega}$  +  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  is a measurable cardinal” in which  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  carries exactly  $\tau$  normal measures, where  $\tau \geq \aleph_{\omega+2}$  is an arbitrary regular cardinal. The proof given easily generalizes to handling successors of other singular cardinals of cofinality  $\omega$ , such as  $\aleph_{\omega+\omega+1}$ ,  $\aleph_{\omega^2+1}$ , etc. No attempt was made in that paper, however, to handle successors of singular cardinals of uncountable cofinality, such as  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to rectify this situation and establish a similar result for  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$ . Specifically, in analogy to [2], we prove the following two theorems.

---

<sup>\*</sup>2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 03E25, 03E35, 03E45, 03E55.

<sup>†</sup>Keywords: Supercompact cardinal, supercompact Radin forcing, Radin sequence of measures, symmetric inner model, normal measure, measurable cardinal.

<sup>‡</sup>The author’s research was partially supported by PSC-CUNY grants and CUNY Collaborative Incentive grants.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose  $V^* \models \text{“ZFC} + \text{GCH} + \kappa < \lambda$  are such that  $\kappa$  is supercompact and  $\lambda$  is the least measurable cardinal greater than  $\kappa + \tau > \lambda^+$  is a fixed but arbitrary regular cardinal”.* There is then a generic extension  $V$  of  $V^*$ , a partial ordering  $\mathbb{P} \in V$ , and a symmetric submodel  $N \subseteq V^{\mathbb{P}}$  such that  $N \models \text{“ZF} + \neg \text{AC}_\omega + \aleph_1$  is a regular cardinal  $+ \kappa = \aleph_{\omega_1} +$  For every limit ordinal  $\nu < \aleph_1$ ,  $\aleph_{\nu+1}$  is a measurable cardinal  $+ \lambda = \kappa^+ = \aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  is a measurable cardinal”. In  $N$ , every successor cardinal less than  $\aleph_{\omega_1}$  is regular, the cardinal and cofinality structure at and above  $\lambda$  is the same as in  $V$  (which has the same cardinal and cofinality structure at and above  $\lambda$  as  $V^*$ ), and  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  carries exactly  $\tau$  normal measures.

**Theorem 2** *Suppose  $V^* \models \text{“ZFC} + \text{GCH} + \kappa < \lambda$  are such that  $\kappa$  is supercompact and  $\lambda$  is the least measurable cardinal greater than  $\kappa$ ”.* There is then a generic extension  $V$  of  $V^*$ , a partial ordering  $\mathbb{P} \in V$ , and a symmetric submodel  $N \subseteq V^{\mathbb{P}}$  such that  $N \models \text{“ZF} + \neg \text{AC}_\omega + \aleph_1$  is a regular cardinal  $+ \kappa = \aleph_{\omega_1} +$  For every limit ordinal  $\nu < \aleph_1$ ,  $\aleph_{\nu+1}$  is a measurable cardinal  $+ \lambda = \kappa^+ = \aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  is a measurable cardinal”. In  $N$ , every successor cardinal less than  $\aleph_{\omega_1}$  is regular,  $\aleph_{\omega_1+2}$  is regular, and  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  carries exactly  $\aleph_{\omega_1+2}$  normal measures.

As in [2], Theorems 1 and 2 provide our desired results. Taken together, they show that relative to the appropriate assumptions, it is consistent for  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  to be measurable and to carry precisely  $\tau$  normal measures, where  $\tau \geq \aleph_{\omega_1+2}$  is any regular cardinal.

To prove Theorems 1 and 2, let  $V^* \models \text{“ZFC} + \text{GCH} + \kappa < \lambda$  are such that  $\kappa$  is supercompact and  $\lambda$  is the least measurable cardinal greater than  $\kappa$ ”.

As in [2], we give a uniform proof of Theorems 1 and 2. In particular, as in the proof of [2, Theorem 1], for Theorem 1 of this paper and  $\tau$  as in the statement of this theorem, we may assume in addition that  $V^*$  has been generically extended to a model  $V$  with the same cardinal and cofinality structure as  $V^*$  at and above  $\lambda$  such that  $V \models \text{“}\lambda$  carries exactly  $\tau$  normal measures”.

For Theorem 2 of this paper, as in the proof of [2, Theorem 2], we may assume in addition that  $V^*$  has been generically extended to a model  $V$  such that  $V \models \text{“}\lambda$  carries exactly  $\lambda^+$  normal measures”.

For the exact manner in which  $V$  is constructed from  $V^*$ , we refer readers to [2]. We note only that for Theorem 1,  $V \models \text{“}2^\lambda = \lambda^+ + 2^{\lambda^+} = \tau$ ”, and for Theorem 2,  $V \models \text{“}2^\lambda = \lambda^+ + 2^{\lambda^+} = \lambda^{++}$ ”.

Our proof combines Gitik's techniques of [11] with the methods of [2]. Our presentation of Gitik's techniques is based on the one given in [3], but also follows the ones given in [5], [1], and [6]. All of these rely heavily on [11]. As the necessary facts about Radin forcing are distributed throughout the literature, our bibliographical citations will reflect this.

Our witnessing model  $N$  for Theorems 1 and 2 is the specific version of the model  $N_A$  of [3] described at the end of that paper, only constructed using a Radin sequence of measures of length  $\aleph_1$  instead of  $\kappa^+$  and not truncating the universe at  $\kappa$ . We explicitly give the construction below. Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  be an elementary embedding witnessing the  $2^\lambda$  supercompactness of  $\kappa$ . Our first step is to define a Radin sequence of measures  $\mu_{<\aleph_1} = \langle \mu_\alpha \mid \alpha < \aleph_1 \rangle$  appropriate for supercompact Radin forcing over  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$ . Specifically, if  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\mu_\alpha$  is defined by  $X \in \mu_\alpha$  iff  $\langle j(\beta) \mid \beta < \lambda \rangle \in j(X)$ , and if  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha < \aleph_1$ ,  $\mu_\alpha$  is defined by  $X \in \mu_\alpha$  iff  $\langle \mu_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle =_{\text{df}} \mu_{<\alpha} \in j(X)$ .

Next, we let  $\mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$  be supercompact Radin forcing over  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$  defined using  $\mu_{<\aleph_1}$ . The particulars of the definition are virtually identical to the ones found in [5], [3], [1], and [6], but for clarity, we repeat them here.  $\mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$  is composed of all finite sequences of the form  $\langle \langle p_0, u_0, C_0 \rangle, \dots, \langle p_n, u_n, C_n \rangle, \langle \mu_{<\aleph_1}, C \rangle \rangle$  such that the following conditions hold.

1. For  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $p_i \subsetneq p_j$ , where for  $p, q \in P_\kappa(\lambda)$ ,  $p \subsetneq q$  means  $p \subseteq q$  and  $\text{otp}(p) < q \cap \kappa$ .
2. For  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $p_i \cap \kappa$  is a measurable cardinal.
3.  $\text{otp}(p_i)$  is the least measurable cardinal greater than  $p_i \cap \kappa$ . In analogy to the notation of [11], [5], [3], [1], and [6], we write  $\text{otp}(p_i) = (p_i \cap \kappa)^*$ . By extension of this notation,  $\lambda = \kappa^*$ .
4. For  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $u_i$  is a Radin sequence of measures appropriate for supercompact Radin forcing over  $P_{p_i \cap \kappa}(\text{otp}(p_i))$  with  $(u_i)_0$ , the 0th coordinate of  $u_i$ , a supercompact measure over  $P_{p_i \cap \kappa}(\text{otp}(p_i))$ .
5.  $C_i$  is a sequence of measure 1 sets for  $u_i$ .
6.  $C$  is a sequence of measure 1 sets for  $\mu_{<\aleph_1}$ .
7. For each  $p \in (C)_0$ , where  $(C)_0$  is the coordinate of  $C$  such that  $(C)_0 \in \mu_0$ ,  $\bigcup_{i \in \{0, \dots, n\}} p_i \subsetneq p$ .

8. For each  $p \in (C)_0$ ,  $\text{otp}(p) = (p \cap \kappa)^*$  and  $p \cap \kappa$  is a measurable cardinal.

Conditions (5) and (6) are both standard to any definition of Radin forcing. Conditions (1), (2), (4), and (7) are all standard to any definition of *supercompact* Radin forcing. Conditions (3) and (8) are used because of our ultimate aim of constructing a model in which  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  is measurable and carries the desired number of normal measures. That they may be included and have the Radin forcing attain its desired goals follows by the fact that  $V \models$  “ $\kappa$  is supercompact and  $\lambda$  is the least measurable cardinal greater than  $\kappa$ ”. Thus, by closure,  $M \models$  “ $\kappa$  is measurable and  $\lambda$  is the least measurable cardinal greater than  $\kappa$ ”. This means that by reflection,  $\{p \in P_\kappa(\lambda) \mid p \cap \kappa \text{ is a measurable cardinal and } \text{otp}(p) \text{ is the least measurable cardinal greater than } p \cap \kappa\} \in \mu_0$ . This will ensure that the Radin sequence of cardinals eventually produced can be used in our final symmetric inner model  $N$ .

For completeness of exposition, we recall now the definition of the ordering on  $\mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$ . If  $\pi_0 = \langle \langle p_0, u_0, C_0 \rangle, \dots, \langle p_n, u_n, C_n \rangle, \langle \mu_{<\aleph_1}, C \rangle \rangle$  and  $\pi_1 = \langle \langle q_0, v_0, D_0 \rangle, \dots, \langle q_m, v_m, D_m \rangle, \langle \mu_{<\aleph_1}, D \rangle \rangle$ , then  $\pi_1$  extends  $\pi_0$  iff the following conditions hold.

1. For each  $\langle p_j, u_j, C_j \rangle$  which appears in  $\pi_0$ , there is a  $\langle q_i, v_i, D_i \rangle$  which appears in  $\pi_1$  such that  $\langle q_i, v_i \rangle = \langle p_j, u_j \rangle$  and  $D_i \subseteq C_j$ , i.e., for each coordinate  $(D_i)_\alpha$  and  $(C_j)_\alpha$ ,  $(D_i)_\alpha \subseteq (C_j)_\alpha$ .
2.  $D \subseteq C$ .
3.  $n \leq m$ .
4. If  $\langle q_i, v_i, D_i \rangle$  does not appear in  $\pi_0$ , let  $\langle p_j, u_j, C_j \rangle$  (or  $\langle \mu_{<\aleph_1}, C \rangle$ ) be the first element of  $\pi_0$  such that  $p_j \cap \kappa > q_i \cap \kappa$ . Then
  - (a)  $q_i$  is order isomorphic to some  $q \in (C_j)_0$ .
  - (b) There exists an  $\alpha < \alpha_0$ , where  $\alpha_0$  is the length of  $u_j$ , such that  $v_i$  is isomorphic “in a natural way” to an ultrafilter sequence  $v \in (C_j)_\alpha$ .

- (c) For  $\beta_0$  the length of  $v_i$ , there is a function  $f : \beta_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0$  such that for  $\beta < \beta_0$ ,  $(D_i)_\beta$  is a set of ultrafilter sequences such that for some subset  $(D_i)'_\beta$  of  $(C_j)_{f(\beta)}$ , each ultrafilter sequence in  $(D_i)_\beta$  is isomorphic “in a natural way” to an ultrafilter sequence in  $(D_i)'_\beta$ .

For further information on the definition of the ordering on  $\mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$  (including the meaning of “in a natural way”) and more facts about Radin forcing in general, readers are referred to [5], [3], [1], [6], [8], [9], [11], [10], and [13].

We are now ready to define the partial ordering  $\mathbb{P}$  used in the proof of Theorem 1. It is given by the finite support product ordered componentwise

$$\prod_{\{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \aleph_1 \leq \alpha < \beta < \kappa \text{ are regular cardinals}\}} \text{Coll}(\alpha, <\beta) \times \mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1},$$

where  $\text{Coll}(\alpha, <\beta)$  is the Lévy collapse of all cardinals of size less than  $\beta$  to  $\alpha$ .

Let  $G$  be  $V$ -generic over  $\mathbb{P}$ , and let  $G_0$  be the projection of  $G$  onto  $\mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$ . For any condition  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$ , call  $\langle p_0, \dots, p_n \rangle$  the  $p$ -part of  $\pi$ . Let  $R = \{p \mid \exists \pi \in G_0[p \in p - \text{part}(\pi)]\}$ , and let  $R_\ell = \{p \mid p \in R \text{ and } p \text{ is a limit point of } R\}$ . Define three sets  $E_0$ ,  $E_1$ , and  $E_2$  by  $E_0 = \{\alpha \mid \text{For some } \pi \in G_0 \text{ and some } p \in p - \text{part}(\pi), p \cap \kappa = \alpha\}$ ,  $E_1 = \{\alpha \leq \kappa \mid \alpha \text{ is a limit point of } E_0\}$ , and  $E_2 = E_1 \cup \{(\aleph_1)^V\} \cup \{\beta \mid \exists \alpha \in E_1[\beta = \alpha^*]\}$ . By a simple density argument, forcing with  $\mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$  changes the cofinality of  $\kappa$  to  $(\aleph_1)^V$ . We can therefore let  $\langle \alpha_\nu \mid \nu < (\aleph_1)^V \rangle$  be the continuous, increasing enumeration of  $E_2 - \{\kappa, \lambda\}$ , and also let  $\alpha_{(\aleph_1)^V} = \kappa$  and  $\alpha_{(\aleph_1)^V+1} = \lambda$ . Note that the sequence  $\langle \alpha_\nu \mid \nu < (\aleph_1)^V \rangle$  is cofinal in  $\kappa$ . Let  $\nu = \nu' + n$  for some  $n \in \omega$ , where  $\nu' \leq (\aleph_1)^V$  is either a limit ordinal or 0. For  $\beta$  where  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  and  $\beta \in [\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$  in the first case,  $\nu = (\aleph_1)^V$  and  $\beta \in [\kappa, \lambda)$  in the second case, and  $\beta = \alpha_{\nu+1}$  and  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  in the last two cases, define sets  $C_i(\alpha_\nu, \beta)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  according to specific conditions on  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  in the following manner:

1.  $\nu = \nu' \neq 0$ ,  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$ , and  $n = 0$ , i.e.,  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  is a limit ordinal. Let  $p(\alpha_\nu)$  be the element  $p$  of  $R$  such that  $p \cap \kappa = \alpha_\nu$ , and let  $h_{p(\alpha_\nu)} : p(\alpha_\nu) \rightarrow \text{otp}(p(\alpha_\nu))$  be the order isomorphism between  $p(\alpha_\nu)$  and  $\text{otp}(p(\alpha_\nu))$ . Then  $C_1(\alpha_\nu, \beta) = \{h_{p(\alpha_\nu)}'' p \cap \beta \mid p \in R_\ell, p \subseteq p(\alpha_\nu), \text{ and } h_{p(\alpha_\nu)}^{-1}(\beta) \in p\}$ .
2.  $\nu = (\aleph_1)^V$ . Then  $C_2(\kappa, \beta) = C_2(\alpha_{(\aleph_1)^V}, \beta) = \{p \cap \beta \mid p \in R_\ell \text{ and } \beta \in p\}$ .

3. ( $\nu = \nu' + n$ ,  $\nu' > 0$ ,  $\nu' < (\aleph_1)^V$ , and  $n \geq 2$ ) or ( $\nu' = 0$  and  $n \in \omega$ ), i.e.,  $\nu$  is neither a limit ordinal nor the successor of a limit ordinal less than  $(\aleph_1)^V$ . Let  $H(\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$  be the projection of  $G$  onto  $\text{Coll}(\alpha_\nu, <\alpha_{\nu+1})$ . Then  $C_3(\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1}) = H(\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$ .
4.  $\nu = \nu' + 1$  for  $\nu' > 0$ ,  $\nu' < (\aleph_1)^V$ , i.e.,  $\nu$  is the successor of a limit ordinal less than  $(\aleph_1)^V$ . Let  $H(\alpha_\nu^+, \alpha_{\nu+1})$  be the projection of  $G$  onto  $\text{Coll}(\alpha_\nu^+, <\alpha_{\nu+1})$ . Then  $C_4(\alpha_\nu^+, \alpha_{\nu+1}) = H(\alpha_\nu^+, \alpha_{\nu+1})$ .

$C_1(\alpha_\nu, \beta)$  and  $C_2(\kappa, \beta)$  are used to collapse  $\beta$  to  $\alpha_\nu$  when  $\nu \leq (\aleph_1)^V$  is a limit ordinal, and are also used to generate the closed, cofinal sequence  $\langle \alpha_\gamma \mid \gamma < \nu \rangle$ .  $C_3(\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$  is used to collapse  $\alpha_{\nu+1}$  to be the successor of  $\alpha_\nu$  when  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  is neither a limit ordinal nor the successor of a limit ordinal, and  $C_4(\alpha_\nu^+, \alpha_{\nu+1})$  is used to collapse  $\alpha_{\nu+1}$  to be the successor of  $\alpha_\nu^+$  when  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  is the successor of a limit ordinal. The  $C_i$  have been chosen so as to ensure that all successor cardinals less than  $\aleph_{\omega_1}$  are regular and that the successor of every limit cardinal less than or equal to  $\aleph_{\omega_1}$  is measurable. Intuitively, the symmetric inner model  $N \subseteq V[G]$  witnessing the conclusions of Theorem 1 is the least model of ZF extending  $V$  which contains  $C_1(\alpha_\nu, \beta)$  if  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  is a limit ordinal and  $\beta \in [\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$ ,  $C_2(\kappa, \beta)$  if  $\beta \in [\kappa, \lambda)$ ,  $C_3(\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$  if  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  is neither a limit ordinal nor the successor of a limit ordinal, and  $C_4(\alpha_\nu^+, \alpha_{\nu+1})$  if  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  is the successor of a limit ordinal.

To define  $N$  more precisely, it is necessary to define canonical names  $\underline{\alpha}_\nu$  for the  $\alpha_\nu$ 's when  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  and canonical names  $\underline{C}_i(\nu, \beta)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\underline{C}_i(\nu, \nu + 1)$  for  $i = 3, 4$ . Recall that when  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  it is possible to decide  $p(\alpha_\nu)$  (and hence  $\text{otp}(p(\alpha_\nu))$ ) by writing  $\omega \cdot \nu = \omega^{\sigma_0} \cdot n_0 + \omega^{\sigma_1} \cdot n_1 + \cdots + \omega^{\sigma_m} \cdot n_m$  (where  $\sigma_0 > \sigma_1 > \cdots > \sigma_m$  are ordinals,  $n_0, \dots, n_m > 0$  are integers, and  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ , and exponentiation are the ordinal arithmetical operations), letting  $\pi = \langle \langle p_{ij_i}, u_{ij_i}, C_{ij_i} \rangle_{i \leq m, 1 \leq j_i \leq n_i}, \langle \mu < \aleph_1, C \rangle \rangle$  be such that  $\min(p_{i1} \cap \kappa, \omega^{\text{length}(u_{i1})}) = \sigma_i$  and  $\text{length}(u_{ij_i}) = \min(p_{i1} \cap \kappa, \text{length}(u_{i1}))$  for  $1 \leq j_i \leq n_i$ , and letting  $p(\alpha_\nu)$  be  $p_{mn_m}$ . Further,  $D_\nu = \{r \in \mathbb{P} \mid r \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$  extends a condition  $\pi$  of the above form\} is a dense open subset of  $\mathbb{P}$ .  $\underline{\alpha}_\nu$  is the name of the  $\alpha_\nu$  determined by any element of  $D_\nu \cap G$ ; in the notation of [11], [5], [3], [1], and [6],  $\underline{\alpha}_\nu = \{ \langle r, \check{\alpha}_\nu(r) \rangle \mid r \in D_\nu \}$ , where  $\alpha_\nu(r)$  is the  $\alpha_\nu$  determined by the condition  $r$ .

The canonical names  $\underline{C}_i(\nu, \beta)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\underline{C}_i(\nu, \nu + 1)$  for  $i = 3, 4$  are defined in a manner

so as to be invariant under the appropriate group of automorphisms. Specifically, there are four cases to consider. We again write  $\nu = \nu' + n$ , where  $n \in \omega$  and  $\nu' \leq (\aleph_1)^V$  is either a limit ordinal or 0, and let  $\beta$  be as before. We also assume without loss of generality that as in [11], [5], [3], [1], and [6],  $\alpha_{\nu+1}$  is determined by  $D_\nu$  when  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$ . Further, we adopt throughout each of the four cases the notation of [11], [5], [3], [1], and [6].

1.  $\nu' = \nu \neq 0$ ,  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$ , and  $n = 0$ , i.e.,  $\nu < (\aleph_1)^V$  is a limit ordinal.  $\underline{C_1(\nu, \beta)} = \{\langle r, (\check{r} \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}) \upharpoonright (\alpha_\nu(r), \beta) \rangle \mid r \in D_\nu\}$ , where for  $r \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\pi = r \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$ ,  $\pi \upharpoonright (\alpha_\nu(r), \beta) = \{h_{p(\alpha_\nu)(r)}'' p \cap \beta \mid p \in \text{p-part}(\pi), p \subseteq p(\alpha_\nu)(r), p \in R_\ell \upharpoonright \pi, \text{ and } h_{p(\alpha_\nu)(r)}^{-1}(\beta) \in p\}$ .
2.  $\nu = (\aleph_1)^V$ .  $\underline{C_2(\nu, \beta)} = \{\langle r, (\check{r} \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}) \upharpoonright (\kappa, \beta) \rangle\}$ , where for  $r \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\pi = r \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_{<\aleph_1}$ ,  $\pi \upharpoonright (\kappa, \beta) = \{p \cap \beta \mid p \in \text{p-part}(\pi), p \in R_\ell \upharpoonright \pi, \text{ and } \beta \in p\}$ .
3. ( $\nu = \nu' + n$ ,  $\nu' > 0$ ,  $\nu' < (\aleph_1)^V$ , and  $n \geq 2$ ) or ( $\nu' = 0$  and  $n \in \omega$ ), i.e.,  $\nu$  is neither a limit ordinal nor the successor of a limit ordinal less than  $(\aleph_1)^V$ .  $\underline{C_3(\nu, \nu + 1)} = \{\langle r, (\check{r} \upharpoonright \text{Coll}(\alpha_\nu(r), <\alpha_{\nu+1}(r))) \rangle \mid r \in D_\nu\}$ .
4.  $\nu = \nu' + 1$  for  $\nu' > 0$ ,  $\nu' < (\aleph_1)^V$ , i.e.,  $\nu$  is the successor of a limit ordinal less than  $(\aleph_1)^V$ .  $\underline{C_4(\nu, \nu + 1)} = \{\langle r, (\check{r} \upharpoonright \text{Coll}(\alpha_\nu^+(r), <\alpha_{\nu+1}(r))) \rangle \mid r \in D_\nu\}$ .

As in [11], [5], [3], [1], and [6], since for any  $r, r' \in D_\nu \cap G$ ,  $p(\alpha_\nu)(r) = p(\alpha_\nu)(r')$ , each of the definitions just given is unambiguous.

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the group of automorphisms of [11], and let  $\underline{C(G)} = \{\psi(\underline{C_1(\nu, \beta)}) \mid \psi \in \mathcal{G}, 0 \leq \nu < (\aleph_1)^V, \text{ and } \beta \in [\nu, \kappa) \text{ is a cardinal}\} \cup \{\psi(\underline{C_2(\nu, \beta)}) \mid \psi \in \mathcal{G}, \nu = (\aleph_1)^V, \text{ and } \beta \in [\kappa, \lambda) \text{ is a cardinal}\} \cup \bigcup_{i=3,4} \{\psi(\underline{C_i(\nu, \nu + 1)}) \mid \psi \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } 0 \leq \nu < (\aleph_1)^V\}$ .  $C(G) = \{i_G(\psi(\underline{C_1(\nu, \beta)})) \mid \psi \in \mathcal{G}, 0 \leq \nu < (\aleph_1)^V, \text{ and } \beta \in [\nu, \kappa) \text{ is a cardinal}\} \cup \{i_G(\psi(\underline{C_2(\nu, \beta)})) \mid \psi \in \mathcal{G}, \nu = (\aleph_1)^V, \text{ and } \beta \in [\kappa, \lambda) \text{ is a cardinal}\} \cup \bigcup_{i=3,4} \{i_G(\psi(\underline{C_i(\nu, \nu + 1)})) \mid \psi \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } 0 \leq \nu < (\aleph_1)^V\} = i_G(\underline{C(G)})$ .  $N$  is then the set of all sets which are hereditarily  $V$  definable from  $C(G)$ , i.e.,  $N = \text{HVD}(C(G))$ .

Let  $\langle \delta_\nu \mid \nu \leq (\aleph_1)^V + 1 \rangle$  be the continuous, increasing enumeration of  $\{\alpha_\nu \mid \nu \leq (\aleph_1)^V + 1\} \cup \{(\alpha_\nu^+)^V \mid \nu = \gamma + 1 \text{ and } \gamma < (\aleph_1)^V \text{ is a limit ordinal}\}$ . The arguments of [11] and [3] allow

us to conclude that  $N \models \text{“ZF} + \neg\text{AC}_\omega + \aleph_1 = (\aleph_1)^V \text{ is a regular cardinal} + \langle \aleph_\nu \mid \nu < \aleph_1 \rangle = \langle \delta_\nu \mid \nu < \aleph_1 \rangle + \text{For every limit ordinal } \nu < \aleph_1, \aleph_{\nu+1} \text{ is a measurable cardinal} + \kappa = \aleph_{\omega_1} + \text{Every successor cardinal less than } \aleph_{\omega_1} \text{ is regular} + \kappa^+ = \lambda = \aleph_{\omega_1+1} \text{ is a measurable cardinal”}$ . In addition, we know that for any ordinal  $\gamma$  and any set  $x \subseteq \gamma$ ,  $x \in N$ ,  $x = \{\alpha < \gamma \mid V[G] \models \phi(\alpha, i_G(\psi_1(C_{i_1}(\nu_1, \beta_1))), \dots, i_G(\psi_n(C_{i_n}(\nu_n, \beta_n))), C(G))\}$ , where  $i_j$  is an integer,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i_j \leq 4$ , each  $\psi_i \in \mathcal{G}$ , each  $\beta_i$  is an appropriate ordinal for  $\nu_i$ , and  $\phi(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1})$  is a formula which may also contain some parameters from  $V$  which we shall suppress.

Let

$$\bar{\mathbb{P}} = \prod_{i_j=3, j \leq n} \text{Coll}(\alpha_{\nu_j}, < \alpha_{\nu_j+1}) \times \prod_{i_j=4, j \leq n} \text{Coll}(\alpha_{\nu_j}^+, < \alpha_{\nu_j+1}) \times \mathbb{R}_{< \aleph_1}.$$

For  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}_{< \aleph_1}$ , let  $\pi \upharpoonright \gamma = \{\langle q, u, C \rangle \in \pi \mid q \cap \kappa \leq \gamma\}$ . For  $p \in \bar{\mathbb{P}}$ ,  $p = \langle p_1, \dots, p_m, \pi \rangle$ ,  $m \leq n$ ,  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}_{< \aleph_1}$ , let  $p \upharpoonright \gamma = \langle q_1, \dots, q_m, \pi \upharpoonright \gamma \rangle$ , where  $q_j = p_j$  if  $\alpha_{\nu_j} \leq \gamma$  and  $q_j = \emptyset$  otherwise. In other words,  $p \upharpoonright \gamma$  is the part of  $p$  below or at  $\gamma$ . Without loss of generality, we ignore the empty coordinates and let  $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \upharpoonright \gamma = \{p \upharpoonright \gamma \mid p \in \bar{\mathbb{P}}\}$ . Let  $G \upharpoonright \gamma$  be the projection of  $G$  onto  $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \upharpoonright \gamma$ . An analogous fact to [11, Theorem 3.2.11] holds, using the same proof as in [11], namely  $x \in V[G \upharpoonright \gamma]$ . In addition, the elements of  $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \upharpoonright \gamma$  can be partitioned into equivalence classes (the “almost similar” equivalence classes of [11]) with respect to  $C_{i_1}(\nu_1, \beta_1), \dots, C_{i_n}(\nu_n, \beta_n)$  via an equivalence relation to be called  $\sim$  such that if  $\varphi$  is any formula mentioning only (terms for ground model sets and)  $C_{i_1}(\nu_1, \beta_1), \dots, C_{i_n}(\nu_n, \beta_n)$ , and  $C(G)$ ,  $p \parallel \varphi$  (i.e.,  $p$  decides  $\varphi$ ), and  $q \sim p$ , then  $q \parallel \varphi$  in the same way that  $p$  does. It thus follows as an immediate corollary of the work of [11] that if we define  $G_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle} = \{[p]_\sim \mid p \in G \upharpoonright \gamma\}$ , then  $x \in V[G_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}]$  and  $V[G_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}] \subseteq N$ . Further, suppose  $\nu \leq (\aleph_1)^V$  is a limit ordinal. The work of [11] also tells us that for  $\gamma = \alpha_{\nu+1} = \delta_{\nu+1} = (\aleph_{\nu+1})^N$ , since  $x$  is a set of ordinals, we may assume that for  $\beta = \max(\{\beta_i \mid i \leq n\})$ ,  $\beta \in [\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$ . Because  $\beta \in [\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$ , it follows that  $G_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}$  is  $V$ -generic over a partial ordering forcing equivalent to a partial ordering  $\mathbb{Q}_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}$  such that  $|\mathbb{Q}_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}| < \gamma$ . And, if  $\gamma \geq \lambda$  is a  $V$ -cardinal, it is the case that  $G_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}$  is  $V$ -generic over a partial ordering forcing equivalent to a partial ordering  $\mathbb{Q}_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}$  such that  $|\mathbb{Q}_\gamma^{\langle \langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}| < \lambda$ . The facts in the next to last sentence and [3, Lemma 2], in tandem with



the way in which  $N$  is defined, provide a proof that if  $\mathcal{U} \in V$  is a normal measure over  $\gamma$ , then  $N \models \mathcal{U}' = \{x \subseteq \gamma \mid \exists y \subseteq x [y \in \mathcal{U}]\}$  is a normal measure over  $\gamma$ ". From this, we will be able to infer that  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  carries the desired number of normal measures in  $N$ . In particular, the following two lemmas complete the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1.1** *Suppose  $\mathcal{U}^* \in N$  is a normal measure over  $\lambda$ . Then for some normal measure  $\mathcal{U} \in V$  over  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^* = \{x \subseteq \lambda \mid \exists y \subseteq x [y \in \mathcal{U}]\}$ .*

**Proof:** Our proof is very similar to the proofs of [2, Lemma 2.2] and [4, Lemma 1.1], both of which use ideas from the proof of [7, Theorem 2.3(e)]. Let  $\sigma$  be a term for  $\mathcal{U}^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}^* \in N$ , we may choose ordinals  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  and terms  $\underline{C}_{i_1}(\nu_1, \beta_1), \dots, \underline{C}_{i_n}(\nu_n, \beta_n)$  such that  $\sigma$  mentions only  $\underline{C}_{i_1}(\nu_1, \beta_1), \dots, \underline{C}_{i_n}(\nu_n, \beta_n)$ ,  $\underline{C}(G)$ , and canonical terms for sets in  $V$ . We may assume (by "padding" if necessary) that for  $\beta = \max(\{\beta_i \mid i \leq n\})$ ,  $\beta \in [\kappa, \lambda)$ . This means by our remarks in the last paragraph that  $G_\lambda^{\langle\langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}$  is  $V$ -generic over a partial ordering forcing equivalent to one having size less than  $\lambda$ . Again by what was mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the set  $\mathcal{U}^{**} = \mathcal{U}^* \cap V[G_\lambda^{\langle\langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}] \in V[G_\lambda^{\langle\langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}]$ , which immediately implies that  $\mathcal{U}^{**}$  is in  $V[G_\lambda^{\langle\langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}]$  a normal measure over  $\lambda$ . Once more by what was stated in the last paragraph and the Lévy-Solovay results [12], it must consequently be the case that for some  $\mathcal{U} \in V$  a normal measure over  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^{**}$  is definable in  $V[G_\lambda^{\langle\langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle \rangle}]$  as  $\{x \subseteq \lambda \mid \exists y \subseteq x [y \in \mathcal{U}]\}$ . Therefore, since  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^*$  and  $\mathcal{U}' = \{x \subseteq \lambda \mid \exists y \subseteq x [y \in \mathcal{U}]\}$  as defined in  $N$  is an ultrafilter over  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U}^*$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.1. □

**Lemma 1.2** *In  $N$ , the cardinal and cofinality structure above  $\lambda$  is the same as in  $V$ .*

**Proof:** We follow the proof of [2, Lemma 2.3]. Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be arbitrary ordinals, and suppose that  $N \models \text{"}f : \beta \rightarrow \gamma \text{ is a function"}$ . Since  $f$  may be coded by a set of ordinals, by our remarks in the paragraph immediately preceding the statement of Lemma 1.1,  $f$  is a member of a generic extension of  $V$  via a partial ordering having cardinality less than  $\lambda$ . Thus,  $f$  cannot witness that

any  $V$ -cardinal greater than or equal to  $\lambda$  has a different cardinality or cofinality. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.2. □

By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 and our earlier exposition, if  $V^*$  is as in Theorem 1, then  $N$  witnesses the conclusions of Theorem 1. Similarly, Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 and our earlier exposition imply that if  $V^*$  is as in Theorem 2, then  $N$  witnesses the conclusions of Theorem 2. This completes the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. □

As with the proof found in [2], the proof that has just been given easily generalizes to handling successors of different singular cardinals of uncountable cofinality, such as  $\aleph_{\omega_1+\omega_1+1}$ ,  $\aleph_{\omega_2+1}$ , etc. It is only necessary to change the length of the Radin sequence of measures accordingly, i.e., to  $\omega_1 + \omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$ , etc. As in [2], though, it is unknown if it is possible for  $\aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  to carry precisely  $\tau$  normal measures, where  $\tau \leq \aleph_{\omega_1+1}$  is an arbitrary infinite or finite cardinal.

It is natural to wonder about the possibility of extending Theorems 1 and 2 so as also to control the number of normal measures over  $\aleph_{\nu+1}$ , where  $\nu < \aleph_1$  is a limit ordinal. The methods of this paper do not seem to allow this to be done. In particular, the proof of Lemma 1.1 breaks down. To see this, let  $\gamma$  be such that  $N \models \text{“}\gamma = \aleph_{\nu+1}\text{, where } \nu < \aleph_1 \text{ is a limit ordinal”}$ . We will not always be able to infer that  $\mathcal{U}^{**} \in V[G_\gamma^{\langle\langle \nu_1, \beta_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_n, \beta_n \rangle\rangle}]$ . This is since  $\mathcal{U}^{**}$  is not a set of ordinals, so we cannot necessarily assume that  $\beta \in [\alpha_\nu, \alpha_{\nu+1})$ , where as before,  $\beta = \max(\{\beta_i \mid i \leq n\})$ . We therefore conclude by asking whether it is possible to extend Theorems 1 and 2 so that the successor of each singular cardinal less than or equal to  $\aleph_{\omega_1}$ , or even more generally, the successor of each singular cardinal, is both measurable and has the number of normal measures it carries exactly controlled.

## References

- [1] A. Apter, “A Cardinal Pattern Inspired by AD”, *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 42, 1996, 211–218.

- [2] A. Apter, “How Many Normal Measures Can  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  Carry?”, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 191, 2006, 57–66.
- [3] A. Apter, “On the Class of Measurable Cardinals Without the Axiom of Choice”, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 79, 1992, 367–379.
- [4] A. Apter, “On the Number of Normal Measures  $\aleph_1$  and  $\aleph_2$  can Carry”, *Tbilisi Mathematical Journal* 1, 2008, 9–14.
- [5] A. Apter, “Some Results on Consecutive Large Cardinals II: Applications of Radin Forcing”, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 52, 1985, 273–292.
- [6] A. Apter, P. Koepke, “Making All Cardinals Almost Ramsey”, *Archive for Mathematical Logic* 47, 2008, 769–783.
- [7] E. Bull, E. Kleinberg, “A Consistent Consequence of AD”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 247, 1979, 211–226.
- [8] J. Cummings, W. H. Woodin, *Generalised Prikry Forcings*, circulated manuscript.
- [9] M. Foreman, W. H. Woodin, “The GCH Can Fail Everywhere”, *Annals of Mathematics* 133, 1991, 1–36.
- [10] M. Gitik, “Prikry-type Forcings”, forthcoming article in the *Handbook of Set Theory*.
- [11] M. Gitik, “Regular Cardinals in Models of ZF”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 290, 1985, 41–68.
- [12] A. Lévy, R. Solovay, “Measurable Cardinals and the Continuum Hypothesis”, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 5, 1967, 234–248.
- [13] L. Radin, “Adding Closed Cofinal Sequences to Large Cardinals”, *Annals of Mathematical Logic* 23, 1982, 263–283.